

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,547 06/28/2001		Kenny Tsai	3626-0208P	5124	
2292	7590 07/22/2004	EXAMINER			
	WART KOLASCH &	KHATRI, ANIL			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2124	Üρ	
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/892,54	7	TSAI, KENNY				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Anil Khatr	i	2124				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT consions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. ys, a reply within the state y period will apply and within the state by statute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be til story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 28 June 2001.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	•	_		osecution as to the merits is				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are we claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from col						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	-, ,	•).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doce 2. Certified copies of the priority doce 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I	uments have bee uments have bee ne priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmer	nt(s)							
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	mation disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449 of P10) er No(s)/Mail Date	1001001	6) Other:	atom (pphotnom (i 10-102)				

Application/Control Number: 09/892,547

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: "System and Method for Diagnosing Software and Calculating Production Weight".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they discloses a claimed invention that is not technologically embodied and just a mere program per se. See *In re Warmerdam* 33 F3d 1354, 31 USPQ2d 1754 (Fed. Cir 1994).

Analysis: Regarding claims 1-18 are software program with plurality of program segments and modules are just to compute product weight for software to be debugged. The claims are purely program per se and its lack of enablement therefore its functionality cannot be realized.

Therefore, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter and claims 1-18 are directed to non-statutory subject matter and rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2124

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Kim et al* USPN 6,016,474.

Regarding claims 1, 4, 10 and 13

Kim et al teaches,

- an event ratio-calculating module, which is used to calculate a production weight of the event based on the ratios of the program segments in the un-debugged software and the relation of the program segments with the event (see figure 7a,b, , column 1, lines 27, even in production version...," column 7, lines 35-44, " a typical program to be debugged... which called it")"; and

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/892,547

Art Unit: 2124

- an event-generating module, which is used to generate the event based on the production weight for diagnosing the un-debugged software (figures 7 and 23, column 7, lines 41-44, occurrence of a particular event... function which called it.

Regarding claims 2, 5, 11 and 14

Kim et al teaches,

- the ratios of the program segments in the software are automatically determined and generated by the event ratio-calculating module (column 10, lines 10-21, "program to be debugged... debug client, and column 15, lines 66-67.. debug client automatically...").

Regarding claims 3, 6, 12 and 15

Kim et al teaches,

- the ratios of the program segments in the software are determined and input by a user (figures 7 a, b).

Regarding claims 7 and 16

Kim et al teaches,

- the un-debugged software is applied on an operating system simulator (figures 12, 16 and 38, column 18, lines 5-16, "debug client and debug server... the debug client").

Regarding claims 8 and 17

Kim et al teaches,

the event-generating module randomly selects, based on the production weight of the event, one event from a set of events (columns 1-2. lines 48-67... software diagnostic tool provides user with mechanism...")

Application/Control Number: 09/892,547

Art Unit: 2124

Regarding claims 9 and 18

Kim et al teaches,

a diagnosis result recording module, which generates a diagnosis report based on the diagnosis result of the un- debugged software (column 16, lines 14-37, "the user can

display... debug server in step 2508").

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Anil Khatri whose telephone number is 703-305-0282. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANIL KHATRI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5